

# Planning Team Report

### Botany Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 - Amendment No. 3: Zoning of School Sites Proposal Title : Botany Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 - Amendment No. 3: Zoning of School Sites Proposal Summary This planning proposal will rezone all school sites from R2 (Low Density Residential) or R3 (Medium Density Residential) to SP2 (Educational Establishments) and will delete the height of buildings and floor space ratio controls from these sites, as they are not relevant to the SP2 Zone. PP Number : PP 2014 BOTAN 002 00 Dop File No : qA279652 **Proposal Details** Date Planning 23-Dec-2013 LGA covered : **Botany Bay** Proposal Received : RPA : The Council of the City of Botany Region : Sydney Region East Section of the Act : State Electorate : HEFFRON 55 - Planning Proposal MAROUBRA LEP Type : Policy Location Details Street : **Trevelyan Street** Suburb : Botany City : Postcode : 2019 Sydney Land Parcel : Lot 1 & 2 DP 503435 Lot 1 & 2 DP 305773 Lot 12 DP 859010 Street : 1076 Botany Road Suburb : Botany City : Sydney Postcode : 2019 Land Parcel Lot 1 DP 433364 Lot 1 DP 194311 Street : **Joffre Crescent** Suburb : Daceyville City : Sydney Postcode : 2032 Land Parcel : Part Lot 1 & Lot 2 DP 997642 Street : Florence Avenue Suburb : Eastlakes City : Postcode : Sydney 2018 Land Parcel Lot 4 DP 791176 Street : Sutherland Street Suburb : Mascot City : Sydney Postcode : 2020 Land Parcel Lot 1 DP 735717 Street : **King Street** Suburb : Mascot City : Sydney Postcode : 2020 Land Parcel : Lot 1 DP 813088 Street : 310 Bunnerong Road Suburb : Matraville City : Sydney Postcode : 2036 Land Parcel : Lot 238 & Lot 239 DP 752015

# Botany Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 - Amendment No. 3: Zoning of School Sites

Street :	60 Page Street				
Suburb :	Botany	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2019
Land Parcel :	Lot 1 DP 795104 Lot 18A DP	9 393556 L	ot 600 - Lot 619 DP 12567		
Street :	Ramsgate Street			Destandar	2040
Suburb :	Botany	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2019
Land Parcel :	Lot 24 - Lot 28 DP 15897				
Street :	Haig Avenue				0000
Suburb :	Daceyville	City:	Sydney	Postcode :	2032
Land Parcel :	Part Lot 1 DP 186759				
Street :	43 Sutherland Street				0000
Suburb :	Mascot	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2020
Land Parcel :	Lot 1 DP 87303 Lot 3 DP 52	23972			

# DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Charlene Nelson
Contact Number :	0285754130
Contact Email :	charlene.nelson@planning.nsw.gov.au

## **RPA Contact Details**

Contact Name :	Cathy McMahon
Contact Number :	0293663250
Contact Email :	mcmahonc@botanybay.nsw.gov.au

# DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :
Contact Number :
Contact Email :

## Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy	Metro East subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Governmen Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	t Yes		
If No, comment :			

Botany Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 - Amendment No. 3: Zoning of School Sites				
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?	No			
If Yes, comment	The Department is not aware of any meetings or communications with registered lobbyists concerning this proposal.			
Supporting notes				
Internal Supporting Notes :	As part of the Standard Instrument process, Council has ensured sufficient land is zoned for residential purposes to meet its housing targets (6500 dwellings by 2031) in the draft East Subregional Strategy.			
	Council considers it is important to retain existing educational establishments within the LGA to ensure sufficient educational establishments are provided for existing and future residents. The zoning of school sites as SP2 (Educational Establishments) has been supported in other Council areas (eg Randwick, Waverley and Marrickville).			
External Supporting Notes :				
lequacy Assessme	nt			
Statement of the o	bjectives - s55(2)(a)			
Is a statement of the c	bjectives provided? Yes			
Comment :	This planning proposal aims to rezone all educational establishments from R2 (Low Density Residential) or R3 (Medium Density Residential) to SP2 (Educational Establishments) and delete the height of buildings and floor space ratio controls from the identified school sites.			
	The objectives of the planning proposal are to: • retain and preserve existing community education assets (primary and secondary) within the Botany Bay LGA; • ensure sufficient educational establishments are provided and available for the existing and future residents; • create certainty for the local community in relation to the retention of community assets; and • enable greater input from the community into the future uses of these sites.			
Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)				
Is an explanation of pr	ovisions provided? Yes			
Comment	The planning proposal seeks to amend the Land Zoning Maps to rezone the following school sites from R2 (Low Density Residential) to SP2 (Educational Establishments) and amend the relevant maps to delete the height of buildings and floor space ratio controls from these sites. - Banksmeadow Public School: Trevelyan Street, Botany - Botany Public School: 1076 Botany Road, Botany - Daceyville Public School: Joffre Crescent, Daceyville - Eastlakes Public School: Florence Avenue, Eastlakes - JJ Cahill Memorial High School: Sutherland Street, Mascot - Mascot Public School: King Street, Mascot - Pagewood Public School: 60 Page Street, Botany - St Bernard's Catholic Primary School, Ramsgate Street, Botany - St Michael's Catholic Primary School, Haig Avenue, Daceyville			

The planning proposal seeks to rezone Matraville Public School from R3(Medium Density Residential) to SP2(Education Establishments) and delete the height of buildings and floor space ratio controls from this site.

tany Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 - Amendment No. 3: Zoning of School Sites		
Justification - s55 (2)	(c)	
a) Has Council's strategy	been agreed to by the D	Director General? No
b) S.117 directions identi * May need the Director	fied by RPA :	3.1 Residential Zones 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Is the Director Generation	al's agreement required?	No
c) Consistent with Stand	ard Instrument (LEPs) O	rder 2006 : <b>Yes</b>
d) Which SEPPs have th	e RPA identified?	SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	proposal will reduc inconsistent with th	es hat the proposal is consistent with this Direction. However, as the e the area of residential zones it is considered that the proposal is nis Direction. As such Council should amend the planning proposal sion on this inconsistency and a justification.
	Council maintains t however, Council s confirm this statem	that residential development was not intended for these sites, should consult with the Department of Education and Communities to nent.
		ouncil that the following S.117 Directions are relevant and that the is consistent with them:
3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes The rezoning of the educational establishments and the r control will not impact on the effective and safe operation		lear Licensed Aerodromes e educational establishments and the removal of the height buildings pact on the effective and safe operation of Sydney Airport.
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils Future developments (i.e. any works below the natural ground surface or watertable is likely to be lowered) will be subjected to Council's consent sulfate soil management plan will be required.	
	4.3 Flood Prone La Some of the existii planning proposal lands.	and ng educational establishments are subject to flooding. However, the will not alter the potential flood impacts both on and off the subject
	6.1 Approval and F No concurrence, c any of the sites.	Referral Requirements consultation or referral of a Minister or public authority is required for
	6.3 Site Specific P The planning prop buildings) for all e with this Direction	posal seeks to remove site specific planning control (i.e. height of educational establishments within Botany Bay, which is consistent
	State Environmen	tal Planning Policies
	The planning prop	tal Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007: bosal will not deter the effective delivery of infrastructure across NSW tain provisions that contradict or would hinder future application of ure) 2007.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

Comment :

### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

The planning proposal includes maps showing existing zoning plus height and FSR controls for each identified school site. However, it is very difficult to identify the boundaries of the school sites on these maps. Maps showing proposed planning controls for each identified school site are not provided.

- Prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal should be amended to:
- identify the map sheets from Botany Bay LEP 2013 which are to be amended;
- clearly identify each school site;
- include maps showing the existing zoning, height and FSR for each site; and
- include maps showing the proposed zoning, height and FSR for each site.

### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

In accordance with section 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act), the planning proposal will be placed on public exhibition for 14 days and not 28 days as requested by Council. 14 days is sufficient given this is a relatively minor matter.

### **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

identified school site are not provided. These maps will be requested as a condition of support for the planning proposal to proceed.	If No, comment :	
---	------------------	--

### **Proposal Assessment**

# Principal LEP: Due Date : June 2013 Comments in relation to Principal LEP : LEP : In accordance with relevant Departmental Plan 2013 was notified on 21 June 2013. In accordance with relevant Departmental Practice Notes and Circulars, all educational establishments within the Botany Bay LGA were zoned in conformity to the adjacent land uses (i.e. R2 – Low Density Residential or R3 – Medium Density Residential). On 11 December 2013, Council at its meeting resolved to prepare a planning proposal to amend the Botany Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 to rezone all land hosting educational facilities to SP2(Education Establishments).

### Assessment Criteria

Need for planning	The planning proposal is the only means of achieving the objectives and intended
proposal :	outcomes as it involves a statutory amendment to the Botany Bay Local Environmental
	Plan 2013.

# Botany Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 - Amendment No. 3: Zoning of School Sites

Consistency with strategic planning framework :       The planning proposal is consistent with: Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036         . Objective H2: 1: Ban and coordinate the effective and timely provision of social infrastructure and services.       Draft East Subregional Strategy - A24: Utilise local assets to encourage learning and innovation.         Standard Instrument LEP It is noted that some flaxibility has been applied to the zoning of school sites in a number of Standard Instrument LEP across the Sydney Metropolitan Region, where Council considers the planning proposal is justified as it will ensure ducational Establishments). Council considers the planning proposal is justified as it will ensure ducational establishments are preserved and reliande within the LGA. It is recommended that Council should consult with the Department of Education and Communities.         Environmental social economic impacts:       Environmental Impacts While Eastern Suburbs Banksis Scrub has been identified at Banksmeadow Public School (Lot 1 and 2.P 50345). Lot 1 and 2.P 208773 and Lot 12 DP 859070), physical works that may impact upon it are not proposed.         All educational establishments located in areas of alrcraft noise exposure forecasts levels of grazer than 25 AMEP have been insulated under the Sydney and Adelaide Noise Insulation Programs.         Social Impacts Bustation Programs.       Social Impacts Bustation Proposal will have minimal economic effects. The proposal will not result in the reduction of employment within the Botany Bay LGA.         Adsessement Process       9 months       Delegation : LEP : Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d):         Immetra to train the proceed ? No       No <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>				
<ul> <li>A 2.4: Utilise to cal assets to encourage learning and innovation.</li> <li>Standard Instrument LEP It is noted that some flexibility has been applied to the zoning of school sites in a number of Sandard Instrument LEP across the Sydney Metropolitan Region, where Councils have been permitted to zone school as \$F2 (Educational Establishments). Council considers the planning proposal is justified as it will ensure educational establishments are preserved and retained within the LGA. It is recommended that Council should consult with the Department of Education and Communities.</li> <li>Environmental social</li> <li>Environmental Impacts</li> <li>Environmental mapation of the 2 DP 305773 and Lot 12 DP 859070), physical works that may impact upon it are not proposed.</li> <li>All educational establishments located in areas of aircraft noise exposure forecasts levels of greater than 25 ANEF have been insulated under the Sydney and Adelaide Noise insulation Programs.</li> <li>Social Impacts</li> <li>By 2021, it is forecast that the population of the LGA will grow from 41,689 to 59,386 including 11,328 persons between 0-19 age group. This is an increase of 2,110 persons between 0-19 age group, which represents growth of 21.7%. It is therefore essential that educational establishments are provided for the existing and future residents.</li> <li>Economic Impacts</li> <li>The planning proposal will have minimal economic effects. The proposal will not result in the reduction of employment within the Botany Bay LGA.</li> <li>Assessment Process</li> <li>Proposal type:</li> <li>Routine</li> <li>Gommunity Consultation</li> <li>Period ::</li> <li>Timeframe to make</li> <li>9 months</li> <li>Delegation :</li> <li>LFP:</li> <li>Public Authority</li> <li>Delayment of Education and Communities</li> <li>Solaid Autority</li> <li>Community Consultation</li> <li>Solaid Autority</li> <li>Community Consultation</li> <li>Solai</li></ul>	strategic planning	Metropolitan Plan for 5 - Objective H2: To ens transport, jobs and ho - Action H2.1: Plan and	Sydney 2036 sure appropriate social infrastructure a busing; d coordinate the effective and timely p	
tis noted that some flexibility has been applied to the zoning of school sites in a number of Standard instrument LEPs across the Sydney Metropolitan Region, where Councils have been permitted to zone school as SP2 (Educational Establishments). Council considers the planning proposal is justified as it will ensure educational establishments are preserved within the LGA. It is recommended that Council should consult with the Department of Education and Communities.         Environmental social       Environmental Impacts         economic impacts:       While Eastern Suburbs Banksia Scrub has been identified at Banksmeadow Public School (Lot 1 and 2 DP 503435; Lot 1 and 2 DP 305773 and Lot 12 DP 850970), physical works that may impact upon it are not proposed.         All educational establishments located in areas of alrcraft noise exposure forecasts levels of greater than 25 ANEF have been insulated under the Sydney and Adelaide Noise Insulation Programs.         Social Impacts       Social impacts         By 2021, it is forecast that the population of the LGA will grow from 41,669 to 59,386 including 11,828 persons between 0-19 age group. This is an increase of 2,110 persons between 0-19 age group, which represents growth of 21.7%. It is therefore easential that educational establishments are provided for the existing and future residents.         Economic Impacts       The planning proposal will have minimal economic effects. The proposal will not result in the reduced or gregosol will more minimal economic effects. The proposal will not result in the reduction of employment within the Botany Bay LGA.         Proposal type :       No         Privici :       Summating proposal will have minimal economic effects. The propos				tion.
economic impacts:       While Eastern Suburbs Banksia Scrub has been identified at Banksmeadow Public School         (Lot 1 and 2 DF 303435; Lot 1 and 2 DP 305773 and Lot 12 DP 859070), physical works that may impact upon it are not proposed.         All educational establishments located in areas of aircraft noise exposure forecasts levels of greater than 25 ANEF have been insulated under the Sydney and Adelaide Noise Insulation Programs.         Social Impacts       By 2021, it is forecast that the population of the LGA will grow from 41,669 to 59,386 including 11,828 persons between 0-19 age group. This is an increase of 2,110 persons between 0-19 age group, which represents growth of 21.7%. It is therefore essential that educational establishments be retained and preserved within the LGA to ensure sufficient educational establishments are provided for the existing and future residents.         Economic Impacts       The planning proposal will have minimal economic effects. The proposal will not result in the reduction of employment within the Botany Bay LGA.         Assessment Process       Proposal type :       Routine       Community Consultation         Period :         Timeframe to make LEP :       9 months       Delegation :         LEP :         Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :       Department of Education and Communities         Kervelic Hearing by the PAC required?       No		It is noted that some f of Standard Instrumer have been permitted t considers the plannin are preserved and ret	lexibility has been applied to the zonin nt LEPs across the Sydney Metropolita to zone schools as SP2 (Educational Es g proposal is justified as it will ensure ained within the LGA. It is recommende	n Region, where Councils stablishments). Council educational establishments
of greater than 25 ANEF have been insulated under the Sydney and Adelaide Noise Insulation Programs.         Social Impacts By 2021, it is forecast that the population of the LGA will grow from 41,669 to 59,386 including 11,828 persons between 0-19 age group. This is an increase of 2,110 persons between 0-19 age group, which represents growth of 21.7%. It is therefore essential that educational establishments be retained and preserved within the LGA to ensure sufficient educational establishments are provided for the existing and future residents.         Economic Impacts The planning proposal will have minimal economic effects. The proposal will not result in the reduction of employment within the Botany Bay LGA.         Assessment Process         Proposal type :       Routine       Community Consultation Period :         Timeframe to make LEP :       9 months       Delegation :         Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :       Department of Education and Communities         Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?       No		While Eastern Suburb (Lot 1 and 2 DP 50343	s Banksia Scrub has been identified at 5; Lot 1 and 2 DP 305773 and Lot 12 D	
By 2021, it is forecast that the population of the LGA will grow from 41,669 to 59,386 including 11,828 persons between 0-19 age group. This is an increase of 2,110 persons between 0-19 age group, which represents growth of 21.7%. It is therefore essential that educational establishments be retained and preserved within the LGA to ensure sufficient educational establishments be retained and preserved within the LGA to ensure sufficient educational establishments are provided for the existing and future residents.         Economic Impacts       The planning proposal will have minimal economic effects. The proposal will not result in the reduction of employment within the Botany Bay LGA.         Assessment Process       Routine       Community Consultation Period :         Timeframe to make       9 months       Delegation :         LEP :       Public Authority       Department of Education and Communities         No       Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?       No		of greater than 25 AN		
The planning proposal will have minimal economic effects. The proposal will not result in the reduction of employment within the Botany Bay LGA.   Assessment Process   Proposal type : Routine   Community Consultation 14 Days   Period :   Timeframe to make 9 months   LEP :   Public Authority   Consultation - 56(2)   (d) :   No		By 2021, it is forecast including 11,828 pers between 0-19 age gro educational establish	ons between 0-19 age group. This is an up, which represents growth of 21.7%. ments be retained and preserved withi	n increase of 2,110 persons It is therefore essential that in the LGA to ensure sufficient
Proposal type :RoutineCommunity Consultation14 DaysPeriod :Period :Period :Period :Timeframe to make LEP :9 monthsDelegation :Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :Department of Education and CommunitiesPublic Hearing by the PAC required?No		The planning proposa		The proposal will not result in
Timeframe to make     9 months     Delegation :       LEP :     Public Authority     Department of Education and Communities       Consultation - 56(2)     (d) :       Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?     No	Assessment Proces	S		
LEP : Public Authority Department of Education and Communities Consultation - 56(2) (d) : Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No	Proposal type :	Routine	-	14 Days
Consultation - 56(2) (d) : Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? <b>No</b>		9 months	Delegation :	
	Consultation - 56(2)	Department of Educa	tion and Communities	
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes	Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No	
	(2)(a) Should the matter	r proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide reasons :	If no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No	If Yes, reasons :			

## Botany Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 - Amendment No. 3: Zoning of School Sites

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

### No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons : The proposal will not place additional demands or pressures on existing public infrastructure.

### Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Planning Proposal (Final).pdf	Proposal	No
Attachment 1 - Botany Bay Local Environmental Plan	Proposal	No
2013 - Zoning and Planning Controls.pdf		
Attachment 3 - Council's Report.pdf	Proposal	No
Attachment 3 - Council's Resolution.pdf	Proposal	No
Attachment 4 - List of State Environmental Planning	Proposal	No
Policies.pdf		
Cover Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	No

### Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones
	3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	DELEGATION OF PLAN MAKING FUNCTION
	Council has not requested that the plan making function be delegated to Council.
	As a matter of minor significance, it is recommended that the plan making function be delegated to Botany Council.
2	RECOMMENDATION AND CONDITIONS
	It is recommended that the planning proposal should proceed, subject to the following conditions:
	1. Prior to public exhibition the planning proposal should be amended to:
	<ul> <li>identify the map sheets from Botany Bay LEP 2013 which are to be amended;</li> <li>clearly identify each school site;</li> </ul>
	- include maps showing the existing zoning, height and FSR controls for each site;
	- include a discussion on the proposal's inconsistency with s117 direction 3.1 and
	provide a justification for this inconsistency; and
	- include maps showing the proposed zoning, height and FSR controls for each site;
	2. The plenning proposal is to be placed on public exhibition for a period of 14
	<ol><li>The planning proposal is to be placed on public exhibition for a period of 14 days.</li></ol>
	3. Consultation with the NSW Department of Education and Communities is required.

Botany Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 - Amendment No. 3: Zoning of School Sites	
	4. The time frame for finalisation of the planning proposal is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
	5. No further studies are required to be undertaken.
	6. The plan making function be delegated to Botany Council.
Supporting Reasons :	Similar, flexibility has been applied to the zoning of school sites in a number of Standard Instrument LEPs across the Sydney Metropolitan Region, where Councils have been permitted to zone schools as SP2 (Educational Establishments)to ensure these school sites are retained within the LGA.
	Council advise that the current proposal will not affect it's ability to meet housing targets for the LGA.
Signature: Printed Name:	TIM AKCHER Date: 24.1.14